# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/583,677	05/31/2000	Marcos N. Novaes	POU9-2000-0004-US1	2880
75	90 08/1	/2004	EXAMINER	
Blanche E Sch		WON, MICHAEL YOUNG		
Heslin & Rothe 5 Columbia Cir			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Albany, NY 1	2203		2155	
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			11/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	THE STATE OF THE S				
	09/583,677	NOVAES ET AL.	-				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Y Won	2155					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re oly within the statutory minimum of thirt I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' te, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communicati  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 J</u>	June 2004.						
	s action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-76 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-76 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)□ objected to I	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S Patent and Trademark Office.		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1, 27, and 51 have been amended and new claims 75 and 76 have been added.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 6-76 have examined and are pending with this action.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2155

3. Claims 1-3 and 6-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wipfel et al. (US 6338112 B1).

#### **INDEPENDENT:**

As per claims 1, 27, and 51, Wipfel teaches a system of managing clusters of a computing environment (see title), said system comprising: a registry component (see Fig.6, "registry 600") to provide global data storage for global data of a cluster of said computing environment, said cluster including a plurality of nodes of said computing environment (see Fig.1 and col.5, lines 29-31) and said global data comprising configuration data of multiple nodes of the plurality of nodes (see Fig.2, #224; Fig.6; and col.14, lines 1-8); configuration component (see Fig.2, #216) to maintain data locally on at least one node of said plurality of nodes (see Fig.2, #218 and col.8, lines 11-14), and to store global data in said registry component (see col.6, lines 38-44 and col.8, lines 62-64); liveness component to provide status of one or more communications paths of said cluster (see Fig.3; col.9, lines 12-22; and col.11, lines 25-34), said liveness component being dependent upon said registry component and said configuration component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34); a group services component to provide one or more services to one or more other components of said cluster (see col.9, lines 7-9), said group services component being dependent on said registry component (see col.9, lines 45-48; probing is dependent on value stored in register), said configuration component and said liveness component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34); and a resource management component to provide communications

Art Unit: 2155

to one or more resource controllers of said cluster (see col.8, line 36 to col.9, line 11), said resource management component being dependent on said registry component, said configuration component and said group services component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34).

#### **DEPENDENT:**

As per claims 2, 3, 6, 28-30, and 52-54, Wipfel further teaches wherein said registry component is functionally dependent on said group services component for at least one type of operation (see col.9, lines 45-48: probing), wherein said at least one type of operation comprises a write operation (see col.9, lines 48-52: "updated").

As per claims 7, 31, and 55, Wipfel further teaches wherein said being dependent comprises being functionally dependent (It is inherent that since Wipfel discusses an operational relationship (see col.9, lines 18-19), all dependencies are functionally dependent).

As per claims 8, 32, and 56, Wipfel further teaches wherein said registry component lacks a data dependency on said configuration component, said liveness component, said group services component and said resource management component (see col.9, lines 17-22).

As per claims 9, 33, and 57, Wipfel further teaches wherein said configuration component has a data dependency on said registry component (see col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 6).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claims 10, 13, 34, 37, 58, and 61, Wipfel further teaches wherein said liveness component has a data dependency on said registry component and configuration component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34).

As per claims 11, 14, 35, 38, 59, and 62, Wipfel further teaches wherein said group services component has a data dependency on said registry component and configuration component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34).

As per claims 12, 15, 36, 39, 60, and 63, Wipfel further teaches wherein said resource management component has a data dependency on said registry component and configuration component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34).

As per claims 16, 40, and 64, Wipfel further teaches wherein said cluster includes a plurality of nodes, and wherein said registry component is included on less than all nodes of said plurality of nodes (see col.9, lines 42-45: "and/or a structure").

As per claims 17, 41, and 65, Wipfel further teaches wherein said configuration component is started by at least one operating system of at least one node of said one or more nodes of said computing environment (see col.6, lines 52-59).

As per claims 18, 42, and 66, Wipfel further teaches wherein said configuration component is responsible for starting one or more components of said registry component, said liveness component, said group services component and said resource management component (see col.9, lines 57-67).

As per claims 19, 43, and 67, Wipfel further teaches wherein the starting of one or more components satisfies at least one of one or more functional dependencies

Art Unit: 2155

between the one or more components and one or more data dependencies between the one or more components (see col.9, lines 38...).

As per claims 20, 44, and 68, Wipfel further teaches wherein said one or more components are started by the configuration component in a defined order (see col.6, line 67 to col.7, line 6).

As per claims 21, 45, and 69, Wipfel further teaches wherein said defined order comprises starting the registry component, and then the liveness component, the group services component and the resource management component (see col.8, lines 40-52).

As per claims 22, 46, and 70, Wipfel further teaches wherein said registry component begins a first phase of its initialization, in response to being started, said first phase of initialization comprising determining at least one copy of a global configuration database to be used in the starting (see col.7, lines (see Fig.7; col.9, lines 61-67; and col.15, line 61 to col.16, line 5).

As per claims 23, 47, and 71, Wipfel further teaches wherein said configuration component utilizes a copy of said at least one copy of the global configuration database to verify data, and then continue with starting the liveness component, the group services component and the resource management component (see col.8, lines 17-20).

As per claims 24, 48, and 72, Wipfel further teaches wherein said group services component completes its initialization, in response to the liveness component becoming available (see col.8, lines 57-64).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claims 25, 49, and 73, Wipfel further teaches wherein said registry component begins a second phase of its initialization, in response to the group services component completing initialization, said second phase of initialization comprising updating zero or more copies of the global configuration database to allow write operations against the global configuration database (see col.9, lines 48-52 and col.28, line 58).

As per claims 26, 50, and 74, Wipfel further teaches wherein said resource management component performs its initialization using said system registry component and said group services component (see col.9, line 32 to col.11, line 34).

As per claim 75, Wipfel further teaches wherein the configuration data comprises multiple node definitions for the multiple nodes (see col.2, lines 47-52; col.8, line 65 to col.9, line 2; and col.14, lines 3-6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wipfel et al. (US 6338112 B1) in view of Thorbjornsen et al. (WO 96/37837).

Application/Control Number: 09/583,677 Page 8

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 76, Wipfel does not explicitly teach wherein said computing environment is a shared nothing environment. Thorbjornsen teaches of a share nothing computer environment (see abstract, first sentence). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Thorbjornsen within the system of Wipfel by implementing a share nothing environment within the cluster managing system because Thorbjornsen teaches that within a share nothing architecture, the nodes are divided into groups because this provides multi-fault tolerance and fault masking (see page 6, lines 24-31). Therefore, by incorporating the teachings of Thorbjornsen within the system of Wipfel would provide multi-fault tolerance and fault masking to the cluster managing system.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With the additional limitation added in the amendment, new reference locations have been provided. Clearly, in Fig.6, Wipfel teaches of a global register embodied in Fig.2, #114 or particularly Fig.2, #224, capable of storing global data comprising configuration data (see col.14, lines 1-8). Therefore, the rejections to the claims are maintained.

Art Unit: 2155

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Y Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 6AM-3PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2155

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Young Won

August 11, 2004

HOSAIN ALAM CUREDINGORY PATENT EXAMINER